Debra Thompson

From: Jody Tow

Sent: Friday, April 26, 2019 11:25 AM

To: Assembly

Cc: Stephen Giesbrecht; Glorianne Wollen; Karl Hagerman; Liz Cabrera

Subject: Harbor Warehouse - Petro

Attachments: Harbor Warehouse - 3 options.xlsx

Attached is a 10 year financial comparison of three harbor warehouse/storage options that I laid out to compare options being talked about by the harbor and assembly. Most of my numbers were taken directly from the write up that the harbormaster developed earlier this spring. Initially I was doing it for my benefit to see the costs for each option side by side, but I thought that it might be helpful for you as well so I am sharing it. Please note that I did not take into consideration any modifications to these options during the analysis.

As you know, there are many variables to this process, especially when choosing a site location for a new building and for additional storage. Option 1 and 2 on the attachment are costed out assuming we would use sites that would normally be leased out to harbor customers. Therefore, the loss of lease income is included in the cost comparison which added a considerable cost to option 1 and option 2. If the Harbor used Borough land not being leased out to customers it would save \$190,320 for option 1 and \$127,920 for option 2.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Jody Tow Finance Director P.O. Box 329 Petersburg, Alaska Phone 907.772.5401



Cost over 10 years for Harbor Maintenance Shop and Storage Area (3 options)

sic for Drive Down Apparato site)	extension of utilities	s chosen (the \$19,000 for	ident on what location i	* The new building costs (option #1) are dependent on what location is chosen (the \$19,000 for extension of utilities is for Down Amount 1:1)
	\$ 465.604	\$ 479,662	\$ 676,820	Total
	\$ 20,000		\$ 20,000	onop Fixtures
	\$ 7,500	\$ 7,500	\$ 7,500 \$	Additional Covered Storage
	\$	\$ 127,920	127,920	(Dependent on Location)
Potential loss of lease revenue for option 1 and 2 to store equipment and materials at the Port Dock or another area normally leased to customers				Additional Open Storage Area - 4,100 sf
leases to customers) 2000 sf x .26 cents = \$520 a month;			\$ 62,400	Location)
Potential loss of lease revenue (if the location is land the harbor normally				Building Location Land (Dependent on
Reid Quote (\$50,000-\$70,000)		\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	50 X 200 ft fencing
	\$ 32,500	\$ 25,000	\$	Building & Area Upgrades
1			\$ 50,000	Design, Engineering & Admin
If remediation is necessary. Not sure if this cost is born by leases	\$	\$ 15,600	\$ -	Excavation & Disposal
Based off Mitkof Motors remediation historical costs	\$	\$ 30,000	\$	ADEC Reports, Consultant Fees, Testing
	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ 19,000	Site Prep, extension of utilities
	\$- -	\$	\$ 340,000	New Maintenance Shop
This has not been calculated due to some unknowns, minimal.	÷	· -	-	Service Concentrib Ecose Folly
agreement where borough would need to pay to cancel the lease early.	•	.	ጉ	Cost of Cancelling Lease Farly
By mutual agreement there would be no cost. There is a provision in				
unierence of appraisals.	00,000			
17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	\$ 000 000	٠ -	\$ -	Cost of Trade
(3) Warehouse and land = \$285,000 * 10% = \$28 500 //oar				
(2) Appraised value - warehouse \$80,213, half of land \$102,159. total 285,000 appraisal \$182,372 * 10% = \$18,237/year.	\$ 285,000	\$ 182,370	₹	going to the Harbor)
17) Americal Males of Manual Constant				
40 604 Assumes property values and mill rate remain constant	\$ 40,604	\$ 15.272	٠. -	years
(3) \$4,061 annually if land swap were to occur				Lost General Fund Fluberty taxes over 10
(2) \$1,527 annually to remove warehouse on the tax roll				Tot Canara Fund Propagation
Notes	warehouse swap	Street Warehouse	steel, 15ft ceiling)	
	(3) Petro land and	Borough-owned Dock	rt x 50 ft insulated	
		(2) Use Existing	Borough Property (40	
			(1) Build New on	

^{*} The Petro Land and warehouse option (Option #3) has rental income potential.