

Debra Thompson

From: Dana Thynes <danathynes@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 11:41 PM
To: Assembly
Subject: Thank you for not passing an asymptomatic testing mandate
Attachments: Ct values indicate accuracy - zero accuracy over 34 cycles.jpg

Dear Assembly Members,

How gratifying it is when citizens are treated as fellow adults, and their right to speak freely is unhindered, and their ideas, opinions, and information are not censored or "fact-checked" by controllers. Thank you, Mr. Mayor, for the way you run meetings!

THERE ARE TWO ISSUES I WANT TO REMIND THE ASSEMBLY OF:

1. ASYMPTOMATIC persons are not the engine of transmission... they do not carry the viral load of a person who has symptoms.

In a paper out of China, it was seen as long ago as last winter that one woman who went to the hospital for an entirely unrelated medical issue was tested and found to be positive for SARS-CoV2. (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7219423/> A study on infectivity of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 carriers. Ming Gao,a,1 Lihui Yang,b,1 Xuefu Chen,c Yiyu Deng,d Shifang Yang,e Hanyi Xu,e Zixing Chen,e and Xinglin Gao)

So 455 people were found to have been in contact with her, and all were tested, and followed. None were shown to have contracted the virus, and none of the 455 contacts developed COVID symptoms.

Even our own Dr. Fauci has been recorded saying, "Asymptomatic persons are not the driver of this epidemic." 43 seconds <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vrAvjU2LBkg>

Dr. Maria Van Kerkhove, Head of the World Health Organisation's emerging diseases unit, reported that: 'From the data we have, it still seems to be rare that an asymptomatic person actually transmits onward to a secondary individual. We have a number of reports from countries who are doing very detailed contact tracing. They're following asymptomatic cases. They're following contacts. And they're not finding secondary transmission onward. It's very rare.' W.H.O. from June 12, 2020 3 minutes: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NQTB1bx1Xjs>

A more recent study, published in Nature called "Post-lockdown SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid screening in nearly ten million residents of Wuhan, China", identified 300 asymptomatic positive cases through a massive screening program of more than nine million Chinese citizens post-lockdown in Wuhan— using PCR tests. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-020-19802-w>

Samples of all the asymptomatic cases were also cultured in the lab and "no viable virus" was found, meaning it cannot transmit a virus...

The scientists identified and followed over a thousand close contacts of the asymptomatic cases and found that none of the contacts tested positive for COVID-19.

They noted, "Compared with symptomatic patients, asymptomatic infected persons generally have low quantity of viral loads and a short duration of viral shedding, which decrease the transmission risk of SARS-CoV-2."

THE SECOND ISSUE:

THE KNOWN FALSE POSITIVE RATE OF THE PCR TEST AS ADMINISTERED IN THE US.

We have had the information since April that PCR tests return false positives when the Cycle threshold is too high. Each cycle amplifies the sample exponentially.

Again, our own Dr. Fauci has said that any test over a cycle threshold of 36 will just be showing you dead nucleotides and other debris, and will not be meaningful for diagnosis of a virus. Here is Dr. Fauci (at about 3:50) saying that with anything over 36 cycles, "It's just dead nucleotides...":

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a_Vy6fgaBPE&feature=share

In a paper called, "Viral RNA load as determined by cell culture" out of the European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases, the authors stated, (<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10096-020-03913-9>)

"Based on a set of 183 samples from 155 patients, we observed a significant relationship between viral RNA load and culture positivity."

Further, "We observed a significant relationship between CYCLE THRESHOLD value and culture positivity rate (Fig. 1). Samples with Ct values of 13–17 all led to positive culture. Culture positivity rate then decreased progressively according to CYCLE THRESHOLD values to reach 12% at 33 Ct. NO CULTURE was obtained from samples with Ct > 34. ..."

A friend who is a nurse says she discovered that the state of Alaska is running their tests at a 37 cycle threshold. That is 3 orders of amplification higher than the 34 mentioned in the French study WHICH RETURNS A RATE OF ZERO ACCURACY. (Please see the attached graph.) But it gets worse.

Petersburg's new head of the lab informed us over a week ago that PETERSBURG is using 45 for their cycle threshold. With that threshold, how can we trust the numbers?

As Gina Kolata reported in the Times on January 22, 2007, with health care workers at Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center in Lebanon, New Hampshire, coughing uncontrollably in the spring of 2006, they were sure there was an outbreak of pertussis (whooping cough).

[https://health.maryland.gov/newsclippings/archives/2007/jan07/012207.htm#Faith in Quick Test Leads to Epidemic That Wasn't](https://health.maryland.gov/newsclippings/archives/2007/jan07/012207.htm#Faith%20in%20Quick%20Test%20Leads%20to%20Epidemic%20That%20Wasn%E2%80%99t)

They even used a PCR test that confirmed 142 doctors and workers had contracted whooping cough. They were duly given antibiotics, re-vaccinated, and sent home. But it was all a lie.

There was a 100% false positive rate among the tests, and they think those workers just had a very "coughy" version of ... the common cold!

Thank you.